

William Taylor,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Sergeant Pinkney, K. Sligh, and Captain
Bufford,
Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

C/A No.: 6:17-2771-BHH

ORDER AND OPINION

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct *de novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”
Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

Here, because no objections were filed, the Court has reviewed the record, the applicable law, and the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Finding none, the Court **adopts the Report** (ECF No. 35), and it is

ORDERED that this action is dismissed *with prejudice* for lack of prosecution in accordance with Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendants’ motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 32) is rendered moot by this outcome, and the motion is accordingly denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Bruce H. Hendricks
United States District Judge

August 24, 2018
Charleston, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.